World netizenship or barbarism

Wolfgang Hofkirchner

TU Wien
International Society for Information Studies (IS4SI), Vienna
Bertalanffy Center for the Study of Systems Science (BCSSS), Vienna

13 June 2017, IS4SI Summit Gothenburg

Contents

1 „Populism"

def.= **false consciousness**

• that is owed to the income/assets divides on fractal scales in the course of the **rise of neoliberalism** as well as the **decline of left-wing forces**;

• that offers **illusionary political solutions** not targeting systemic causes but blaming scapegoats instead by problem shifts through „**othering**";

• that shows, as mere belief, **resistance against rational arguments and facts** which makes it doomed to failure;

• and, what is more, that inheres the imminent danger of aggravating conflicts leading to „**democratic fascism**" (Michael Scharang 1987, 2010) or **authoritarian rule** like

– TINA as mainstream ideology of **liberal democracy/technocracy** or

– the „conformist rebellion" of **right-wing populism/extremism** or the upheaval of **fundamentalism/terrorism**

2 The commons

a) the **achievement of commons** is the systemic synergy effect characteristic of social systems

b) today the **enclosure of the commons has become ubiquitous**, which is the ultimate cause of the current crises

c) what needs to be transformed are those **social relations** that enclose the commons: antagonistic relations need to be transformed into synergistic relations

2.1 „contraria sunt complementa" (N. Bohr)

	social relation	dealing with identity and difference	
universalism			**negation** of varieties
particularism	**antagonism** demanding uniformity	**contradictority:** conflict of mutually exclusive (op-)positions	**imposition** of one single variety on any other variety
relativism	**agonism** demanding plurality	**compossibility:** co-existence of (in-)different positions	**juxtaposition** of every variety in their own right
integrativism	**synergism** demanding unity through diversity	**complementarity:** convergence of mutually supportive (pro-)positions for the common good	**composition** made up by modified varieties

3 The third step in anthroposociogenesis

humanity has reached the global level; „populism" hinders the advent of acknowledging the fact and the truth that there can be only one solution (the alternative to which is barbarism)

3 The third step in anthroposociogenesis

anthropo-sociogenesis	co-operativity possibilities	world society?	levels	nestedness (integration)
	production societies	global co-operation 1?		globality?
hunter and gatherer	collective co-operation 1	collective co-operation 2?		collectivity
joint co-operation 1	joint co-operation 2	joint co-operation 3?		jointness
co-operation 1	co-operation 2	co-operation 3?		phases
evolution (differentiation)				

3.1 Requirements of information against false consciousness

	anthropological features		features required for the Great Bifurcation
co-operative information	**consensual**	collectively intentional (about the goal, the here and now and the way to the goal)	globally conscientious and conscious: **commonalist**
communicative information	**collaborative**	consilient (help-, truthful, perspectivist)	globally conversational: **all-inclusive**
cognitive information	**co-ordinative**	conceptual (reflexive, able to generalise)	globally concerned: **meta-reflexive**